Part IX

Views and Access Control
Views and Access Control

1. View Concept
2. Changes via View
3. Assignment of Rights
4. Privacy-Aspects
Learning goals for today . . .

- Understanding of the view concept of databases
- Knowledge to formalize and to use views in SQL
- Knowledge of the problems with changes via views
- Knowledge to data protection aspects in context with aggregated/statistic data
Views

Views: virtual relations (resp. virtual database objects in other data models)

- View are external DB-schemes that follow the 3-level-scheme architecture
- View definition
  - Relation scheme (implicit or explicit)
  - Calculation role for virtual relations, such as SQL-query
Views /2

**Advantages**

- **Simplification of queries** for the user of the database, e.g. by realization of often required sub-queries
- **Possibility of structuring of the database description**, specific to user classes
- **Logic data independence** enables robustness of the interface for applications against changes to the database structure (accordingly vice versa)
- **Description of accesses on the database in context with the access control**

**Problems**

- **Automatic query transformation**
- **Execution of changes on views**
Three-Level-Scheme-Architecture
Definition of Views in SQL

```sql
create view ViewName [ SchemeDeclaration ]
as SQLQuery
[ with check option ]
```
Views - Example

- all red wines from Bordeaux:

```sql
create view RedWines as
    select Name, Vintage, WINES.Vineyard
    from WINES natural join PRODUCER
    where Color = 'Red'
    and Region = 'Bordeaux'
```
Problem Areas of Views

- Execution of changes via views
- Automatic query transformation
Criterion for Changes via Views

- **Effect Conformity**
  User sees effect as if the change was done directly on the view relation.

- **Minimality**
  Basis database should only be changed minimal to preserve the mentioned effect.

- **Consistency Preservation**
  Changes of a view must not lead to integrity violations of the basis database.

- **Respecting the Database Protection**
  If a view is implemented for data protection purposes, then the consciously fade out part of the basis database must not be effected by changes of the view.
Projection View

\[ WNW := \pi_{\text{WineID, Name, Vineyard}}(\text{WINES}) \]

- In SQL with `create view`-statement:
  ```sql
  create view WNW as
  select WineID, Name, Vineyard from WINES
  ```

- Change statement for the view \( WNW \):
  ```sql
  insert into WNW values (3333, 'Dornfelder', 'Müller')
  ```

- Corresponding statement on the basis relation \( \text{WINES} \):
  ```sql
  insert into WINES
  values (3333, 'Dornfelder', null, null, 'Müller')
  ```

→ Problem of Consistence preservation if Color or Vintage declared as not null!
Selection Views

\[ WJ := \sigma_{\text{Vintage} > 2000}(\pi_{\text{WineID}, \text{Vintage}}(\text{WINES})) \]

create view WJ as
select WineID, Vintage
from WINES
where Vintage > 2000

Tuple migration: Tuple
\( \text{WINES}(3456, 'Zinfandel', 'Red', 2004, 'Helena') \), gets "moved out" of the view:

update WINES
set Vintage = 1998
where WineID = 3456
Kontrol of Tuple Migration

create view WJ as
select WineID, Vintage
from WINES
where Vintage > 2000
with check option
Join Views

WE := WINES ⊙ PRODUCER

In SQL:

```sql
CREATE VIEW WE AS
SELECT WineID, Name, Color, Vintage, WINES.Vinyard,
     Area, Region
FROM WINES, PRODUCER
WHERE WEINE.Vineyard = ERZEUGER.Vineyard
```

Change options usually not clearly translatable:

```sql
INSERT INTO WE
VALUES (3333, 'Dornfelder', 'Red', 2002, 'Helena',
        'Barossa Valley', 'South Australia')
```
Join Views /2

- Change is transformed to

  ```sql
  insert into WINES
  values (3333, 'Dornfelder', 'Red', 2002, 'Helena')
  ```

- Plus
  1. Insert statement on ERZEUGER:
     ```sql
     insert into PRODUCER
     values ('Helena', 'Barossa Valley', 'South Australia')
     ```
  2. Or alternative:
     ```sql
     update PRODUCER
     set Area = 'Barossa Valley', Region = 'South Australia'
     where Vineyard = 'Helena'
     ```

   better regarding **minimality requirement**, but contradicts **effect conformity**!
Aggregation Views

```
create view FM (Color, MinVintage) as
select Color, min(Vintage)
from WINES
group by Color
```

- Following changes are not clearly realizable:

```
update FM
set MinVintage = 1993
where Color = 'Red'
```
Classification of Problem Areas

1. Violation of the scheme definition (e.g. introduction of null values at projection view)
2. Data protection: Avoid side effects on invisible part of the database (tuple migration, selection views)
3. Not always clear transformation: choice problem
4. Aggregation views (among others): no useful transformation possible
5. Elemental view changes should exactly comply with an atomic change on basis relation: 1:1-Relation between view tuples and tuples of the basis relation (no projection of keys)
Handling of Views in SQL

- SQL-92-Standard
  - Integrity violating view changes are prohibited
  - Data protection violating view changes: user control (*with check option*)
  - View with unclear transformation: view not changeable (SQL-92 more restrictive than necessary)
Restrictions for View Changes

- Only selection and projection views changeable (join and set operations prohibited)
- 1:1-Relation of view tuples to basis tuples: no `distinct` in projection view
- Arithmetic and aggregation functions in the `select`-part are prohibited
- Exactly one reference on one relation name in the `from`-part permitted (also no self join)
- No sub-queries with "self reference" in the `where`-part permitted (use relation name in the top SFW-block not in the `from`-parts of sub-queries)
- `group by` and `having` prohibited
Evaluation of Queries on Views

- **select**: View attributes probably rename resp. replace by calculation term
- **from**: Names of the original relations
- Conjunctive linking of the **where**-clauses of the view definition and queries (probably renaming)
Problems with Aggregation Views

```sql
create view FM (Color, MinVintage) as
select Color, min(Vintage)
from WINES
group by Color
```

- Query: *Wine colors with old vintages*

```sql
select Color
from FM
where MinVintage < 1995
```
Problems with Aggregation Views /2

- After syntactic transformation:

```sql
select Color
from WINES
where min(Vintage) < 1995
group by Color
```

- No syntactic correct SQL-query – correct would be:

```sql
select Color
from WINES
group by Color
having min(Vintage) < 1995
```
Problems with Aggregation Views /3

- Query

```
select max (MinVintage)
from FM
```

- Should be transformed as follows:

```
select max(min (Vintage))
from WINES
group by Color
```

- But: Nested aggregation functions are prohibited in SQL!
Assignment of Rights in Databases

- **Access rights**
  
  \[(\text{AuthorizationID}, \text{DB-Excerpt}, \text{Operation})\]

- AuthorizationID is internal identification of a "database user"
- Database excerpts: relations and views
- DB-Operations: read, insert, change, remove
Assignment of Rights in SQL

```
grant <Rights>  
on <Table>       
to <UserList>   
[with grant option]
```
Assignment of Rights in SQL /2

Explanations:

- In `<Rights>`-List: all resp. long form **all privileges** or list of `select, insert, update, delete`
- After **on**: relation and view name
- After **to**: Authorization identifications (also **public, group**)
- Special right: right on passing of rights (**with grant option**)
Authorization for public

```
create view MyJobs as
select *
from JOB
where KName = user;

grant select, insert
on MyJobs
to public;
```

"Every user can see her jobs and can insert new jobs (but not remove!)."
Taking Back of Rights

```sql
revoke <Rights>
on <Table>
from <UserList>
[restrict | cascade ]
```

- **restrict**: If rights already passed to thirds: abort of `revoke`
- **cascade**: Propagate revocation of the rights with `revoke` to all users that received them from this user with `grant`
Privacy: Term and Areas of Application

**Privacy**: The right of each individual on a save and private room, that can only be violated by others in exceptional cases.

- Electronic highway toll system: Monitoring of vehicles
- Credit card activities and diverse payback resp. discount cards: buying behavior of customers
- Mobile communication systems: movement profiles of users
- RFID-technology: e.g. in retail trade the customer behavior, flow of goods, etc.
Statistic Databases

- Databases in which single entries are subject to data protection, but statistic information about all users is accessible.
- Statistic information = aggregated data (average income etc.).
- Problem: Extraction of single information with indirect queries.
Statistic Databases: Example

- Example: User $X$ can query data about the account holder as well as statistic data, but no single account balances
  1. Simplification of search criterion (only one customer gets selected)

```sql
select count (*) from ACCOUNT
where Place = 'Manebach' and Age = 24 and ...
```

2. Name of the account holder

```sql
select Name from ACCOUNT
where Place = 'Manebach' and Age = 24 and ...
```

3. Statistic query, that actually gives a single entry

```sql
select sum(Balance) from ACCOUNT
where Place = 'Manebach' and Age = 24 and ...
```

- Remedy: no query that select less than $n$ tuples
Statistic Database: Example /2

- $X$ wants to find out balance of $Y$
- $X$ knows, that $Y$ does not live in Ilmenau
- $X$ has queried, that more than $n$ account holders live in Ilmenau

1. Sum of the balances of customers from Ilmenau

   ```sql
   select sum(Balance) from Account
   where Place = 'Ilmenau'
   ```

2. Sum of the balances of customers from Ilmenau + Customer $Y$

   ```sql
   select sum(Balance) from Account
   where Name = :Y or Place = 'Ilmenau'
   ```

3. Difference of the results gives balance of $Y$

   Remedy: prohibition of statistic queries that affect pairwise an average of more than $m$ given tuples
Statistic Databases: Conclusion

- Critical parameters
  - Result size $n$
  - Size of the overlapping of the result set $m$

If only results of aggregate functions are permitted, than a person needs $1 + (n - 2)/m$ queries to determine a single attribute value.
k-Anonymity

- For many purposes (clinical studies etc.) detail data (micro data) is required

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>ZIP</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>MaritalState</th>
<th>Disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>*****</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>98693</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>married</td>
<td>cold</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*****</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39114</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>single</td>
<td>fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*****</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>39114</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>single</td>
<td>anemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*****</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>98693</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>married</td>
<td>cough</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*****</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>98693</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>married</td>
<td>broken bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*****</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18055</td>
<td>male</td>
<td>single</td>
<td>fever</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*****</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18055</td>
<td>female</td>
<td>single</td>
<td>cold</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
k-Anonymity: Problem

- Is for a person of this relation known that he is:
  - male
  - 38 years old
  - married
  - living in 98693 Ilmenau
- cold

Further relation (Name etc.), e.g. by join with other data

Solution: Data Swapping (??)
**k-Anonymity**

**k-Anonymity:** a certain fact cannot be differentiated among a given amount of $k$ tuples

- A query for an arbitrary combination of age, gender, marital state and ZIP code gives either an empty relation or at least $k$ tuples
**k-Anonymity: Approaches**

- **Generalization**: Replace attribute values by more general values that are gathered from a generalization hierarchy
  - Generalization of the age of the person to age classes: \{35, 39\} → 30-40
  - Leave off digits of the ZIP code: \{39106, 39114\} → 39***

- **Suppression of tuples**: Removing of tuples that violate the \(k\)-anonymity and thus are identifiable
Control Questions

- What is a database view? How are views defined?
- Are views changeable? Under which conditions?
- How can data protection be achieved in databases?
Summary

- Views to structure databases
- Problems by changes via views
- Right system in SQL-DBS
- Privacy-aspects